arXiv:1406.7509v3 [math.CA] 4 Feb 2015

NONTRIVIAL SOLUTIONS OF BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR SECOND ORDER FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

ALESSANDRO CALAMAI AND GENNARO INFANTE

Abstract. In this paper we present a theory for the existence of multiple nontrivial solutions for a class of perturbed Hammerstein integral equations. Our methodology, rather than to work directly in cones, is to utilize the theory of fixed point index on affine cones. This approach is fairly general and covers a class of nonlocal boundary value problems for functional differential equations. Some examples are given in order to illustrate our theoretical results.

Dedicated to Massimo Furi, Professor Emeritus at the University of Florence

1. Introduction

The problem of the existence of solutions for functional differential equations (FDEs) has been discussed by a large number of researchers. A survey of classical and recent results in this topic goes beyond the scopes of this manuscript; we refer the reader to the books by Hale and Lunel [14], Erbe and co-authors [10], Agarwal et al. [1], the survey by Ntouyas [28], the papers by Nussbaum [32], Xu and Liz [41], Ntouyas, Sficas and Tsamatos [30], and references therein. The motivation for these studies, apart from a purely mathematical interest, relies in the fact that these type of equations arise quite frequently when modelling physical problems, see for example the ones illustrated in [14]. Regarding the existence of positive solutions, fixed point techniques in cones have been used, for example, by Wang [35] (in the first order case) and by Erbe and Kong [9], Karakostas, Mavridis and Tsamatos [20] and Ma [27] in the second order case under *local* boundary conditions. The existence of positive solutions in the *nonlocal* case has been studied by Karakostas, Mavridis and Tsamatos [21] and, more recently, by Karaca [19].

In particular, in the paper [21], the authors study the existence of positive solutions of the functional boundary value problem (FBVP)

$$u''(t) + F(t, u_t) = 0, \ t \in [0, 1], \tag{1.1}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34K10, secondary 34B10, 34B18, 47H10.

Key words and phrases. Fixed point index, affine cone, nontrivial solution, retarded functional differential equation, nonlocal boundary condition.

with initial conditions

$$u(t) = \psi(t),\ t \in [-r, 0], \tag{1.2}$$

and boundary conditions (BCs)

$$u(0) = 0,\ u(1) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} u(s)dA(s),\ t_1,\ t_2 \in (0, 1), \tag{1.3}$$

where ψ is assumed to be non-negative and the above integral is meant in the Riemann-Stieltjes sense and is given by a *positive* measure.

The methodology in [21] is to re-write the FBVP (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3) as an Hammerstein-type integral equation of the form

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 \hat{k}(t, s)F(s, u_s)\, ds,$$

with a suitable kernel $\hat{k}$ and to use the Leggett-Williams theorem [25].

Our approach is somewhat different and we study, in the spirit of the paper by Infante and Webb in [17], the existence of nontrivial solutions of perturbed Hammerstein integral equations of the type

$$u(t) = \psi(t) + \int_0^1 k(t, s)g(s)F(s, u_s)\, ds + \gamma(t)\alpha[u], \tag{1.4}$$

where $\alpha[\cdot]$ is a linear functional given by a Stieltjes integral, namely

$$\alpha[u] = \int_0^1 u(s)\, dA(s). \tag{1.5}$$

Here by a *nontrivial solution* of (1.4) we mean a solution that does not coincide with ψ; furthermore we stress that the solutions that we obtain are positive on a subinterval $[a, b]$ of $[0, 1]$ and are allowed to *change sign* in $[0, 1]$.

We point out that the formulation (1.5) involves a *signed* measure and covers the case of multi-point and integral conditions, namely

$$\alpha[u] = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j u(\eta_j) \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha[u] = \int_0^1 \varphi(s)u(s)ds.$$

Multi-point and integral BCs are widely studied objects in the case of ODEs. As far as we know multi-point BCs were investigated for the first time in 1908 by Picone [33]. In 1942 Whyburn [40] wrote a review on differential equations with general BCs that included also integral BCs involving Stieltjes measures. We mention also the (more recent) reviews of Conti [7], Ma [26], Ntouyas [29] and Štikonas [34] and the papers by Karakostas and Tsamatos [22, 23] and by Webb and Infante [38].

One advantage of studying the solutions of the perturbed integral equation (1.4) is that it provides a fairly general setting that covers, *as special cases*, a number of FBVPs subject to nonlocal conditions.

A new feature of the present paper is that we work in *affine cones*. In fact, due to the presence of the delay and of the initial datum ψ, in order to investigate the solutions of the integral equation (1.4) we find it convenient and natural to work in translates of cones in Banach spaces, rather than to work directly in cones. In order to do this, we provide a modification, tailored for our setting, of some classical result on the fixed point index.

As already pointed out, one benefit of providing an existence theory for the perturbed integral equation (1.4) is that it gives results for a (relatively) large class of FBVPs. As an example, we illustrate here the applicability of our results to the nonlocal FBVP

$$-u''(t) = g(t)F(t, u_t),\ t \in [0, 1], \tag{1.6}$$

with initial conditions

$$u(t) = \psi(t),\ t \in [-r, 0] \tag{1.7}$$

and BCs

$$u(0) = 0,\ \beta u'(1) + u(\eta) = \alpha[u],\ \beta > 0,\ \eta \in (0, 1). \tag{1.8}$$

The FBVP (1.6)-(1.7)-(1.8) can be seen as a retarded analogous of some thermostat problems with nonlocal controllers studied, in the case of ODEs, by Infante and Webb [16, 17], who were motivated by earlier work of Guidottti and Merino [12]. Thermostat problems of this type have been studied by a number of authors, more information can be found in the recent papers [18, 37] and references therein.

We describe the applicability of our theory in the special case of a delay differential equation, that is,

$$-u''(t) = g(t)f(t, u(t), u(t - r)),\ t \in [0, 1].$$

As an application, we discuss the existence of nontrivial solutions of the delay equation

$$-u''(t) = \lambda\, |u(t)|^{p-1}|u(t - r)|,\ t \in [0, 1], \tag{1.9}$$

where $p \geq 1$, with the initial conditions (1.7) and some nonlocal BCs.

Finally, we illustrate how our approach can be applied to the case of positive solutions; this is done for the FBVP (1.6)-(1.7)-(1.8), and also for the FBVP (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3), complementing the results of [21, 36].

2. Fixed points on translates of a cone

In this Section we provide some useful properties of the fixed point index on a translate of a cone K, in the spirit of Remark 1 of [2]. These properties are used in Section 3 to prove our existence and multiplicity results for the integral equation (1.4).

Let X be a Banach Space. A *cone* on X is a closed, convex subset of X such that $\lambda\, x \in K$ for $x \in K$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ and $K \cap (-K) = \{0\}$. If Ω is a bounded open subset of K (in the relative topology) we denote by $\overline{\Omega}$ and $\partial\Omega$ the closure and the boundary of Ω relative to K. Given $y \in X$, we can consider the *translate* of a cone K, namely

$$K_y := y + K = \{y + x : x \in K\}.$$

When D is an open bounded subset of X we write $D_{K_y} = D \cap K_y$, an open subset of K_y.

Observe that translates of cones are examples of *absolute neighborhood retracts* (ANRs). So the classical fixed point index theory for compact maps on cones (see e.g. [2, 3, 13]) can be extended to the context of translates of cones. The fixed point index satisfies properties analogous to those of the classical Leray-Schauder degree. The reader can see for instance [4, 11, 31] for a comprehensive presentation of the index theory for ANRs.

The proof of the following Lemma can be carried out as in the case of cones, see for example the proof of Lemma 12.1 in the review [3]. We give here an explicit proof for the sake of completeness; for more details, see also the recent paper [8].

Lemma 2.1. *Let D be an open bounded set with $y \in D$. Assume that $\mathcal{F} : \overline{D}_{K_y} \to K_y$ is a compact map such that $x \neq \mathcal{F}x$ for $x \in \partial D_{K_y}$. Then the fixed point index $i_{K_y}(\mathcal{F}, D_{K_y})$ has the following properties.*

1. *If there exists $e \in K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $x \neq \mathcal{F}x + \sigma e$ for all $x \in \partial D_{K_y}$ and all $\sigma > 0$, then $i_{K_y}(\mathcal{F}, D_{K_y}) = 0$.*
2. *If $\mu(x - y) \neq \mathcal{F}x - y$ for all $x \in \partial D_{K_y}$ and for every $\mu \geq 1$, then $i_{K_y}(\mathcal{F}, D_{K_y}) = 1$.*
3. *Let D' be open in X with $\overline{D'} \subset D_{K_y}$. If $i_{K_y}(\mathcal{F}, D_{K_y}) = 1$ and $i_{K_y}(\mathcal{F}, D'_{K_y}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{F}$ has a fixed point in $D_{K_y} \setminus \overline{D'}_{K_y}$. The same result holds if $i_{K_y}(\mathcal{F}, D_{K_y}) = 0$ and $i_{K_y}(\mathcal{F}, D'_{K_y}) = 1$.*

Proof. (1) Let $\alpha = \sup\{\|x\| : x \in D_{K_y}\}$, $\beta = \sup\{\|\mathcal{F}(x)\| : x \in D_{K_y}\}$ and let $\gamma > \dfrac{\alpha + \beta}{\|e\|}$. Define $H : [0,1] \times \overline{D}_{K_y} \to E$ by $H(\lambda, x) = \mathcal{F}(x) + \lambda\gamma e$. Note that H is a compact map with values in K_y. By the Homotopy invariance property, we get $i_{K_y}(\mathcal{F}, D_{K_y}) = i_{K_y}(\mathcal{F} + \gamma e, D_{K_y})$.

Assume now that $i_{K_y}(\mathcal{F}, D_{K_y}) \neq 0$. Then, there exists $\bar{x} \in D_{K_y}$ such that $\bar{x} = \mathcal{F}(\bar{x}) + \gamma e$. Consequently, $\|\bar{x}\| \geq \gamma\|e\| - \|\mathcal{F}(\bar{x})\| \geq \gamma\|e\| - \beta > \alpha$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $i_{K_y}(\mathcal{F}, D_{K_y}) = 0$.

(2) Define $H : [0,1] \times \overline{D}_{K_y} \to E$ by $H(\lambda, x) = (1-\lambda)y + \lambda\mathcal{F}(x)$. Observe that H is a compact map with values in K_y. Thus, by the Homotopy invariance and Normalization properties, we have $i_{K_y}(\mathcal{F}, D_{K_y}) = i_{K_y}(y, D_{K_y}) = 1$

(3) This is a consequence of the Additivity and Solution properties. □

3. Nontrivial solutions for a class of perturbed integral equations

Given a compact interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, by $C(I, \mathbb{R})$ we mean the Banach space of the continuous functions defined on I with the usual supremum norm. Since we work with functions defined on different intervals (usually $I = [-r, 0]$ or $I = [-r, 1]$ with $r > 0$), for sake of clarity the norm of $u \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$ will be denoted by $\|u\|_I$.

Given $r > 0$ and a continuous function $u : J \to \mathbb{R}$, defined on a real interval J, and given $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $[t - r, t] \subseteq J$, we adopt the standard notation $u_t : [-r, 0] \to \mathbb{R}$ for the function defined by $u_t(\theta) = u(t + \theta)$.

Let us consider the following integral equation in the space $C([-r, 1], \mathbb{R})$:

$$u(t) = \psi(t) + \int_0^1 k(t,s)g(s)F(s, u_s)\, ds + \gamma(t)\alpha[u] =: \mathcal{F}u(t), \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\alpha[u] = \int_0^1 u(s)\, dA(s).$$

We require the following assumptions on the maps F, k, ψ, γ, α and g that occur in (3.1) and on the delay r:

(C_1) The function $\psi : [-r, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and such that $\psi(t) = 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

(C_2) The kernel $k : [-r, 1] \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is measurable, verifies $k(t, s) = 0$ for all $t \in [-r, 0]$ and almost every (a. e.) $s \in [0, 1]$, and for every $\bar{t} \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\lim_{t \to \bar{t}} |k(t,s) - k(\bar{t}, s)| = 0 \ \text{ for a. e. } s \in [0,1].$$

(C_3) There exist a subinterval $[a, b] \subseteq (0, 1]$, a measurable function Φ with $\Phi \geq 0$ a. e., and a constant $c_1 = c_1(a, b) \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$|k(t,s)| \leq \Phi(s) \text{ for all } t \in [0,1] \text{ and a. e. } s \in [0,1],$$

$$k(t,s) \geq c_1\, \Phi(s) \text{ for all } t \in [a,b] \text{ and a. e. } s \in [0,1].$$

(C_4) The function $g : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is measurable, $g(t) \geq 0$ a. e. $t \in [0, 1]$, and satisfies that $g\,\Phi \in L^1[0, 1]$ and $\int_a^b \Phi(s)g(s)\, ds > 0$.

(C_5) $F : [0, 1] \times C([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}) \to [0, \infty)$ is an operator that satisfies some Carathéodory-type conditions (see also [14]); namely, for each ϕ, $t \mapsto F(t, \phi)$ is measurable and

for a. e. t, $\phi \mapsto F(t,\phi)$ is continuous. Furthermore, for each $R > 0$, there exists $\varphi_R \in L^\infty[0,1]$ such that

$$F(t,\phi) \leq \varphi_R(t) \text{ for all } \phi \in C([-r,0],\mathbb{R}) \text{ with } \|\phi\|_{[-r,0]} \leq R, \text{ and a. e. } t \in [0,1].$$

(C_6) A is of bounded variation ($\mathrm{Var}(A) < +\infty$), and $\mathcal{K}_A(s) := \int_0^1 k(t,s)dA(t) \geq 0$ for a.e. $s \in [0,1]$.

(C_7) The function $\gamma : [-r,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, $\gamma \not\equiv 0$ and such that $\gamma(t) = 0$ for all $t \in [-r,0]$; moreover, $0 \leq \alpha[\gamma] < 1$ and there exists $c_2 \in (0,1]$ such that $\gamma(t) \geq c_2\|\gamma\|_{[0,1]}$ for all $t \in [a,b]$.

(C_8) The inequality $r < b - a$ holds.

We stress that, in particular, the assumption (C_5) is crucial to prove the compactness of the operator $\mathcal{F}$ (see Theorem 3.2 below).

In the Banach space $C([-r,1],\mathbb{R})$ we define the cone

$$K_0 = \{u \in C([-r,1],\mathbb{R}) : u(t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in [-r,0], \min_{t\in[a,b]} u(t) \geq c\|u\|_{[-r,1]}, \alpha[u] \geq 0\},$$

where $c = \min\{c_1, c_2\}$. Note that $K_0 \neq \{0\}$ since $\gamma \in K_0$ and, furthermore, that the functions in K_0 are non-negative in the subset $[a,b]$ and are allowed to *change sign* in $[0,1]$. The cone K_0 is a modification of the cone of functions introduced by Infante and Webb in [15]. The idea of incorporating the functional α within the definition of the cone (this allows the use of signed measures) can be found in [39] for the case of positive functions and in [6] for the case of functions that are allowed to change sign.

We consider the following translate of the cone K_0,

$$K_\psi = \psi + K_0 = \{\psi + u : u \in K_0\}.$$

Definition 3.1. We define the following subsets of $C([-r,1],\mathbb{R})$:

$$K_{0,\rho} := \{u \in K_0 : \|u\|_{[0,1]} < \rho\},\ V_{0,\rho} := \{u \in K_0 : \min_{t\in[a,b]} u(t) < \rho\}$$

and the corresponding translates

$$K_{\psi,\rho} := \psi + K_{0,\rho},\ V_{\psi,\rho} := \psi + V_{0,\rho}.$$

Observe that $\partial K_{\psi,\rho} = \psi + \partial K_{0,\rho}$ and $\partial V_{\psi,\rho} = \psi + \partial V_{0,\rho}$. Let us stress that a key feature of these sets is that they can be nested

$$K_{\psi,\rho} \subset V_{\psi,\rho} \subset K_{\psi,\rho/c}.$$

Furthermore, note that $u \in K_\psi$ means that $u = \psi + v$ with $v \in K_0$ and, therefore, we have

$$\|u\|_{[-r,1]} = \max\{\|\psi\|_{[-r,0]}, \|v\|_{[0,1]}\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that the hypotheses* (C_1)*-*(C_8) *hold for some* $R > 0$*. Then* $\mathcal{F}$ *maps* $\overline{K}_{\psi,R}$ *into* K_ψ *and is compact. When these hypotheses hold for every* $R > 0$*,* $\mathcal{F}$ *is compact and maps* K_ψ *into* K_ψ*.*

Proof. Let $R > 0$ be given and let $u \in \overline{K}_{\psi,R}$. Let us show that $\mathcal{F}u - \psi \in K_0$. First of all observe that our assumptions imply that $\mathcal{F}u$ is continuous on $[-r, 1]$ and that $\mathcal{F}u(t) - \psi(t) = 0$ for $t \in [-r, 0]$. Now, for every $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}u(t) - \psi(t)| &\leq \int_0^1 |k(t,s)|g(s)F(s,u_s)\,ds + |\gamma(t)|\alpha[u] \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \Phi(s)g(s)F(s,u_s)\,ds + \|\gamma\|_{[0,1]}\alpha[u], \end{aligned}$$

moreover, since $[a, b] \subseteq (0, 1]$,

$$\min_{t\in[a,b]} \big(\mathcal{F}u(t) - \psi(t)\big) \geq c_1 \int_0^1 \Phi(s)g(s)F(s,u_s)\,ds + c_2\|\gamma\|_{[0,1]}\alpha[u] \geq c\|\mathcal{F}u - \psi\|_{[-r,1]}.$$

Furthermore, by $(C6)$, α is a bounded linear operator. Using $(C6)$ and $(C7)$ we have

$$\alpha[\mathcal{F}u] = \alpha[\gamma]\alpha[u] + \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_A(s)g(s)F(s,u_s)\,ds \geq 0.$$

Therefore we have that $\mathcal{F}u \in K_\psi$ for every $u \in \overline{K}_{\psi,R}$.

To prove the compactness of $\mathcal{F}$, let $\{u^n\}$ be a sequence in $C([-r, 1], \mathbb{R})$ with $\|u^n\|_{[-r,1]} < R$. Observe that $\|u^n_t\|_{[-r,0]} < \hat{R}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, where $\hat{R} := R + \|\psi\|_{[-r,0]}$. Consequently, for $t \in [-r, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}u^n(t)| &\leq |\psi(t)| + \int_0^1 |k(t,s)|g(s)F(s,u^n_s)\,ds + |\gamma(t)|\alpha[u^n] \\ &\leq \|\psi\|_{[-r,0]} + \int_0^1 \Phi(s)g(s)\varphi_{\hat{R}}(s)\,ds + \|\gamma\|_{[0,1]}\,R\,\mathrm{Var}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the sequence $\{\mathcal{F}u^n\}$ is bounded.

Now, by assumption (C_2) and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, the function $t \mapsto \int_0^1 k(t,s)g(s)\varphi_{\hat{R}}(s)\,ds$ is continuous. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given; by the continuity of ψ and γ and of $\displaystyle\int_0^1 k(\cdot,s)g(s)\varphi_{\hat{R}}(s)\,ds$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that:

$|\psi(t_1) - \psi(t_2)| < \varepsilon$, provided that $t_1, t_2 \in [-r, 0]$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$;

$|\gamma(t_1) - \gamma(t_2)| < \varepsilon$, provided that $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$;

$\displaystyle\int_0^1 |k(t_1,s) - k(t_2,s)|g(s)\varphi_{\hat{R}}(s)\,ds < \varepsilon$, provided that $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$.

Therefore we have

$$|\mathcal{F}u^n(t_1) - \mathcal{F}u^n(t_2)| \leq |\psi(t_1) - \psi(t_2)| < \varepsilon,$$

if $t_1, t_2 \in [-r, 0]$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$;

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{F}u^n(t_1) - \mathcal{F}u^n(t_2)| \le& \int_0^1 |k(t_1, s) - k(t_2, s)|g(s)F(s, u_s^n)\, ds + |\gamma(t_1) - \gamma(t_2)|\alpha[u^n] \\ <&\varepsilon\big(1 + R\,\mathrm{Var}(A)\big),\end{aligned}$$

if $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$;

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{F}u^n(t_1) - \mathcal{F}u^n(t_2)| \le& |\mathcal{F}u^n(t_1) - \mathcal{F}u^n(0)| + |\mathcal{F}u^n(0) - \mathcal{F}u^n(t_2)| \\ <&\varepsilon\big(2 + R\,\mathrm{Var}(A)\big),\end{aligned}$$

whenever $-r \le t_1 < 0 < t_2 \le 1$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$.

Therefore the sequence $\{\mathcal{F}u^n\}$ is equicontinuous. The compactness of $\mathcal{F}$ now follows from the Ascoli-Arzelà Theorem. □

In the sequel, we give a condition that ensures that the index is 1 on $K_{\psi,\rho}$ for a suitable ρ larger than the norm of ψ.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that*

(I_ρ^1) *there exists* $\rho > \|\psi\|_{[-r,0]}$ *such that*

$$\frac{F^{(-\rho,\rho)}}{m} < 1,$$

where

$$\frac{1}{m} := \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \left\{ \int_0^1 |k(t, s)|g(s)\, ds + \frac{|\gamma(t)|}{1 - \alpha[\gamma]} \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_A(s)g(s)\, ds \right\}$$

and

$$F^{(-\rho,\rho)} := \sup\left\{ \frac{F(t, \phi)}{\rho} :\ t \in [0, 1],\ \phi \in C([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}) \ \ \textit{with}\ \ \|\phi\|_{[-r,0]} \le \rho \right\}.$$

Then $i_{K_\psi}(\mathcal{F}, K_{\psi,\rho}) = 1$.

Proof. We show that $\mu(u - \psi) \ne \mathcal{F}u - \psi$ for every $u \in \partial K_{\psi,\rho}$ and for every $\mu \ge 1$.

In fact, if this does not happen, there exist $\mu \ge 1$ and $u \in \partial K_{\psi,\rho}$ such that $\mu(u - \psi) = \mathcal{F}u - \psi$, that is

$$\mu\big(u(t) - \psi(t)\big) = \int_0^1 k(t, s)g(s)F(s, u_s)\, ds + \gamma(t)\alpha[u], \quad \text{for every } t \in [-r, 1].$$

Applying α to both sides of the equation and noting that $\alpha[\psi] = 0$, we get

$$\mu\alpha[u] = \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_A(s)g(s)F(s, u_s)\, ds + \alpha[\gamma]\alpha[u]$$

thus, from (C_7), $\mu - \alpha[\gamma] \geq 1 - \alpha[\gamma] > 0$, and we deduce that

$$\alpha[u] = \frac{1}{\mu - \alpha[\gamma]} \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_A(s) g(s) F(s, u_s)\, ds$$

and we get, by substitution,

$$\mu\big(u(t) - \psi(t)\big) = \int_0^1 k(t,s) g(s) F(s, u_s)\, ds + \frac{\gamma(t)}{\mu - \alpha[\gamma]} \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_A(s) g(s) F(s, u_s)\, ds.$$

Recall that $u \in \partial K_{\psi,\rho}$ means that $u = \psi + v$ with $v \in \partial K_{0,\rho}$ and, in particular we have that $\|v\|_{[0,1]} = \rho$. Now observe that $F(s, u_s) \leq \rho F^{(-\rho,\rho)}$ for all $s \in [0,1]$. This estimate follows from the definition of $F^{(-\rho,\rho)}$ and the fact that, since $\|\psi\|_{[-r,0]} < \rho$, we have that $\|u_s\|_{[-r,0]} \leq \rho$ for all s. Therefore, taking the absolute value and then the supremum for $t \in [-r, 1]$ in the above equality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mu\rho \leq & \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \left\{ \int_0^1 |k(t,s)| g(s) F(s, u_s)\, ds + \frac{|\gamma(t)|}{\mu - \alpha[\gamma]} \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_A(s) g(s) F(s, u_s)\, ds \right\} \\ \leq & \rho F^{(-\rho,\rho)} \cdot \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \left\{ \int_0^1 |k(t,s)| g(s)\, ds + \frac{|\gamma(t)|}{1 - \alpha[\gamma]} \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_A(s) g(s)\, ds \right\} < \rho.\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the fact that $\mu \geq 1$ and proves the result. □

Remark 3.4. If the condition (I^1_ρ) holds for a suitable $\rho > \|\psi\|_{[-r,0]}$, then the operator $\mathcal{F}$ has a fixed point in $K_{\psi,\rho}$. Note that this fixed point could be a 'trivial' solution (but with a nonzero norm) of the equation (3.1), namely

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} \psi(t), & t \in [-r, 0], \\ 0, & t \in [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

We now make use of the assumption (C_8) and we provide a condition that guarantees that the index is equal to zero on $V_{\psi,\rho}$, for some appropriate $\rho > 0$.

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that*

(I^0_ρ) *there exist* $\rho > 0$ *such that such that*

$$\frac{F_{(\rho,\rho/c)}}{M(a,b)} > 1,$$

where

$$\frac{1}{M(a,b)} := \inf_{t\in[a,b]} \left\{ \int_{a+r}^b k(t,s) g(s)\, ds + \frac{\gamma(t)}{1 - \alpha[\gamma]} \int_{a+r}^b \mathcal{K}_A(s) g(s)\, ds \right\}$$

and

$$F_{(\rho,\rho/c)} := \inf \left\{ \frac{F(t,\phi)}{\rho} :\ t \in [a,b],\ \phi \in C([-r,0],\mathbb{R}) \ \ \textit{with} \ \ \phi(\theta) \in [\rho, \rho/c] \ \ \textit{for all} \ \ \theta \in [-r,0] \right\}.$$

Then $i_{K_\psi}(\mathcal{F}, V_{\psi,\rho}) = 0$.

Proof. Since $0 \not\equiv \gamma \in K_0$ we can choose $e = \gamma$ in Lemma 2.1. We now prove that

$$u \neq \mathcal{F}u + \sigma\gamma \quad \text{for all } u \in \partial V_{\psi,\rho} \text{ and } \sigma \geq 0.$$

In fact, if not, there exist $u \in \partial V_{\psi,\rho}$ and $\sigma \geq 0$ such that $u = \mathcal{F}u + \sigma\gamma$. Then, in particular, we have

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 k(t,s)g(s)F(s,u_s)\,ds + \sigma\gamma(t) + \gamma(t)\alpha[u], \text{ for every } t \in [0,1]$$

and

$$\alpha[u] = \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_A(s)g(s)F(s,u_s)\,ds + \sigma\alpha[\gamma] + \alpha[\gamma]\alpha[u].$$

Therefore we have,

$$\alpha[u] = \frac{1}{1-\alpha[\gamma]}\int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_A(s)g(s)F(s,u_s)\,ds + \frac{\sigma\alpha[\gamma]}{1-\alpha[\gamma]}$$

and, by substitution, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = &\int_0^1 k(t,s)g(s)F(s,u_s)\,ds + \sigma\gamma(t) \\ &+ \frac{\gamma(t)}{1-\alpha[\gamma]}\left(\int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_A(s)g(s)F(s,u_s)\,ds + \sigma\alpha[\gamma]\right). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $F(s,u_s) \geq \rho F_{(\rho,\rho/c)}$ for all $s \in [a+r,b]$; observe that such an interval is nontrivial by (C_8). In fact, since $u \in \partial V_{\psi,\rho}$, we have $u = \psi + v$ with $v \in \partial V_{0,\rho}$. Consequently, given $s \in [a+r,b]$, we have that $u_s(\theta) = u(s+\theta) = v(s+\theta)$ for $\theta \in [-r,0]$ due to the facts that $s+\theta \in [a,b] \subseteq (0,1]$ for all θ and that ψ vanishes on $[0,1]$. Furthermore, the function $v \in \partial V_{0,\rho}$ is such that $v(\tau) \in [\rho,\rho/c]$ for $\tau \in [a,b]$. This follows from the definition of $V_{0,\rho}$ and from the inclusion $V_{0,\rho} \subset K_{0,\rho/c}$. Summing up we get that, if $s \in [a+r,b]$, then $u_s(\theta) = u(s+\theta) \in [\rho,\rho/c]$ for all $\theta \in [-r,0]$. Therefore, by definition of the number $F_{(\rho,\rho/c)}$ we have $F(s,u_s) \geq \rho F_{(\rho,\rho/c)}$ for all $s \in [a+r,b]$, as claimed.

Hence we get, for $t \in [a,b]$,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\geq \int_{a+r}^b k(t,s)g(s)F(s,u_s)\,ds + \frac{\gamma(t)}{1-\alpha[\gamma]}\int_{a+r}^b \mathcal{K}_A(s)g(s)F(s,u_s)\,ds \\ &\geq \rho F_{(\rho,\rho/c)}\left(\int_{a+r}^b k(t,s)g(s)\,ds + \frac{\gamma(t)}{1-\alpha[\gamma]}\int_{a+r}^b \mathcal{K}_A(s)g(s)\,ds\right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the minimum over $[a,b]$ gives $\rho > \rho$, a contradiction. □

The above Lemmas can be combined in order to prove the following Theorem. Here we deal with the existence of at least one, two or three nontrivial solutions. We stress that, by expanding the lists in conditions $(S_5), (S_6)$ below, it is possible to state results for four or more positive solutions, see for example the paper by Lan [24] for the type of results that might be stated. We omit the proof which follows directly from the properties of the fixed point index stated in Lemma 2.1.

Theorem 3.6. *The integral equation* (3.1) *has at least one nontrivial solution in K_ψ if one of the following conditions hold.*

- (S_1) *There exist $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in (0, \infty)$ with $\|\psi\|_{[-r,0]} < \rho_2$ and $\rho_1/c < \rho_2$ such that $(\mathrm{I}^0_{\rho_1})$ and $(\mathrm{I}^1_{\rho_2})$ hold.*
- (S_2) *There exist $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in (0, \infty)$ with $\|\psi\|_{[-r,0]} < \rho_1 < \rho_2$ such that $(\mathrm{I}^1_{\rho_1})$ and $(\mathrm{I}^0_{\rho_2})$ hold.*

The integral equation (3.1) *has at least two nontrivial solutions in K_ψ if one of the following conditions hold.*

- (S_3) *There exist $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \in (0, \infty)$ with $\|\psi\|_{[-r,0]} < \rho_2$ and $\rho_1/c < \rho_2 < \rho_3$ such that $(\mathrm{I}^0_{\rho_1})$, $(\mathrm{I}^1_{\rho_2})$ and $(\mathrm{I}^0_{\rho_3})$ hold.*
- (S_4) *There exist $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \in (0, \infty)$ with $\|\psi\|_{[-r,0]} < \rho_1 < \rho_2$ and $\rho_2/c < \rho_3$ such that $(\mathrm{I}^1_{\rho_1})$, $(\mathrm{I}^0_{\rho_2})$ and $(\mathrm{I}^1_{\rho_3})$ hold.*

The integral equation (3.1) *has at least three nontrivial solutions in K_ψ if one of the following conditions hold.*

- (S_5) *There exist $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_4 \in (0, \infty)$ with $\|\psi\|_{[-r,0]} < \rho_2$ and $\rho_1/c < \rho_2 < \rho_3$ and $\rho_3/c < \rho_4$ such that $(\mathrm{I}^0_{\rho_1})$, $(\mathrm{I}^1_{\rho_2})$, $(\mathrm{I}^0_{\rho_3})$ and $(\mathrm{I}^1_{\rho_4})$ hold.*
- (S_6) *There exist $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_4 \in (0, \infty)$ with $\|\psi\|_{[-r,0]} < \rho_1 < \rho_2$ and $\rho_2/c < \rho_3 < \rho_4$ such that $(\mathrm{I}^1_{\rho_1})$, $(\mathrm{I}^0_{\rho_2})$, $(\mathrm{I}^1_{\rho_3})$ and $(\mathrm{I}^0_{\rho_4})$ hold.*

Remark 3.7. Note that the solutions given by Theorem 3.6 are nontrivial in the sense that do not coincide with ψ; nevertheless, in view of (3.2), in the case of conditions (S_1), (S_3), (S_5) one of the solutions could have the same norm as ψ.

4. Non-negative solutions under stronger hypotheses

By means of an approach similar to that of the previous Section, we can prove the existence of solutions that are non-negative on $[0, 1]$, in the spirit of Remark 3.4 of [17] and Sections 2 and 3 of [5]. To be more precise, we require that the maps F, k, ψ, γ, α and g that occur in (3.1) and the delay r satisfy the assumptions $(C_1) - (C_8)$ with (C_1), (C_3), (C_5) and (C_7) replaced with the following 'positivity conditions'.

(C_1') The function $\psi : [-r, 1] \to [0, +\infty)$ is continuous and such that $\psi(t) = 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

(C_3') The kernel k is non-negative in $[-r, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and there exist a subinterval $[a, b] \subseteq (0, 1]$, a measurable function Φ with $\Phi \geq 0$ a. e., and a constant $c_1 = c_1(a, b) \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$k(t, s) \leq \Phi(s) \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1] \text{ and a. e. } s \in [0, 1],$$
$$k(t, s) \geq c_1\, \Phi(s) \text{ for all } t \in [a, b] \text{ and a. e. } s \in [0, 1].$$

(C_5') $F : [0, 1] \times C([-r, 0], [0, \infty)) \to [0, \infty)$ is an operator that satisfies Carathéodory-type conditions as in (C_5). Furthermore, for each $R > 0$, there exists $\varphi_R \in L^\infty[0, 1]$ such that

$$F(t, \phi) \leq \varphi_R(t) \text{ for all } \phi \in C([-r, 0], [0, \infty)) \text{ with } \|\phi\|_{[-r,0]} \leq R, \text{ and a. e. } t \in [0, 1].$$

(C_7') The function $\gamma : [-r, 1] \to [0, \infty)$ is continuous, $\gamma \not\equiv 0$ and such that $\gamma(t) = 0$ for all $t \in [-r, 0]$; moreover, $0 \leq \alpha[\gamma] < 1$ and there exists $c_2 \in (0, 1]$ such that $\gamma(t) \geq c_2 \|\gamma\|_{[0,1]}$ for all $t \in [a, b]$.

Then, using the notation

$$P = \{u \in C([-r, 1], \mathbb{R}) : u(t) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t \in [-r, 1]\},$$

it can be shown, by arguments similar to the previous Section, that $\mathcal{F}$ is compact and leaves the affine cone

$$\mathcal{K}_\psi = \psi + (K_0 \cap P) \tag{4.1}$$

invariant. We now state two results analogous to Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5. The proofs, similar to the ones before, are omitted. Here, the sets $\mathcal{K}_{0,\rho}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{0,\rho}$ and the corresponding translates $\mathcal{K}_{\psi,\rho}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\psi,\rho}$ are as in Definition 3.1 with $K_0 \cap P$ in place of K_0.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that*

($\overline{\text{I}_\rho^1}$) *there exists* $\rho > \|\psi\|_{[-r,0]}$ *such that*

$$\frac{F^{(0,\rho)}}{m} < 1,$$

where

$$F^{(0,\rho)} := \sup\left\{\frac{F(t, \phi)}{\rho} : \ t \in [0, 1],\ \phi \in C([-r, 0], [0, \infty)) \ \ \textit{with} \ \ \|\phi\|_{[-r,0]} \leq \rho\right\}.$$

Then $i_{\mathcal{K}_\psi}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}_{\psi,\rho}) = 1$.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that*

($\overline{\mathrm{I}^0_\rho}$) *there exist* $\rho > 0$ *such that such that*

$$\frac{F_{(\rho,\rho/c)'}}{M(a,b)} > 1,$$

where

$$F_{(\rho,\rho/c)'} := \inf\left\{\frac{F(t,\phi)}{\rho} :\ t \in [a,b],\ \phi \in C([-r,0],[0,+\infty)) \text{ with } \phi(\theta) \in [\rho,\rho/c] \text{ for all } \theta \in [-r,0]\right\}.$$

Then $i_{\mathcal{K}_\psi}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V}_{\psi,\rho}) = 0$.

A result equivalent to Theorem 3.6 is hold in this case, with nontrivial solutions belonging to the affine cone (4.1).

5. Nontrivial solutions of some FBVP's

In this Section we provide some applications of the results of Sections 3 and 4.

5.1. **Solutions that may change sign.** We illustrate the results of Section 3, by considering the FBVP

$$-u''(t) = g(t)F(t,u_t),\ t \in [0,1], \tag{5.1}$$

with initial conditions

$$u(t) = \psi(t),\ t \in [-r,0] \tag{5.2}$$

and BCs

$$u(0) = 0,\ \beta u'(1) + u(\eta) = \alpha[u],\ \beta > 0,\ \eta \in (0,1). \tag{5.3}$$

The solution of the ODE $-u'' = y$ under the BCs (5.3) (a similar calculation, under a slightly different set of BCs, is done in [17]) is given by

$$u(t) = \frac{t}{\beta+\eta}\alpha[u] + \frac{\beta t}{\beta+\eta}\int_0^1 y(s)ds + \frac{t}{\beta+\eta}\int_0^\eta (\eta-s)y(s)ds - \int_0^t (t-s)y(s)ds.$$

By a solution of the FBVP (5.1)–(5.2)–(5.3) we mean a solution $u \in C[-r,1]$ of the corresponding integral equation

$$u(t) = \psi(t) + \int_0^1 k(t,s)g(s)F(s,u_s)ds + \gamma(t)\alpha[u],\ t \in [-r,1],$$

where $\gamma(t) = \dfrac{t}{\beta+\eta}H(t)$ and

$$k(t,s) = \left[\frac{\beta t}{\beta+\eta} + \frac{t}{\beta+\eta}(\eta-s)H(\eta-s) - (t-s)H(t-s)\right]H(t),$$

with

$$H(\tau) = \begin{cases} 1, & \tau \geq 0, \\ 0, & \tau < 0. \end{cases}$$

When $\beta \geq 0$, $k(t,s)$ changes sign when $0 < \beta + \eta < 1$, but is non-negative on the strip $0 \leq t \leq b$, $b < \beta + \eta$. Assume $r < \beta + \eta$. Then, we can apply the results of Section 3 to any interval $[a,b] \subset (0, \beta+\eta)$ of length $b - a > r$. Observe that (C_7) holds with $c_2 = a$. We want to find Φ, c_1 so that (C_3) holds. For this purpose we follow the outline of [17] and take for simplicity

$$\Phi(s) = \begin{cases} s, & \text{for } \beta + \eta \geq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \left[\dfrac{1-(\beta+\eta)}{\beta+\eta}\right] s, & \text{for } \beta + \eta < \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Then the upper bound $|k(t,s)| \leq \Phi(s)$ holds. Concerning the lower bounds, we have that if $\beta + \eta \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we may choose

$$c_1 = \min\Big\{\frac{a\beta}{\beta+\eta}, \frac{\beta+\eta-b}{\beta+\eta}\Big\}.$$

While if $\beta + \eta < \frac{1}{2}$, we may take

$$c_1 = \min\Big\{\frac{a\beta}{1-(\beta+\eta)}, \frac{\beta+\eta-b}{1-(\beta+\eta)}\Big\}.$$

Therefore we take

$$c = \begin{cases} \min\Big\{\dfrac{a\beta}{\beta+\eta}, \dfrac{\beta+\eta-b}{\beta+\eta}\Big\}, & \text{for } \beta + \eta \geq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \min\Big\{\dfrac{a\beta}{1-(\beta+\eta)}, \dfrac{\beta+\eta-b}{1-(\beta+\eta)}\Big\}, & \text{for } \beta + \eta < \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{5.4}$$

Here we state, for brevity, a result regarding the existence of one nontrivial solution, that is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.6. A similar result can be stated for the existence of multiple, nontrivial solutions.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $[a,b] \subset (0, \beta+\eta) \subset (0,1)$ with $b - a > r$, and let c as in (5.4) and $\int_a^b \Phi(s) g(s)\, ds > 0$. Then the FBVP (5.1)–(5.2)–(5.3) has at least one nontrivial solution, strictly positive on $[a,b]$, if either (S_1) or (S_2) of Theorem 3.6 holds.*

Now let $f : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ be a given Carathéodory map, and consider the following *delay differential equation*

$$-u''(t) = g(t) f(t, u(t), u(t-r)),\ t \in [0,1], \tag{5.5}$$

with g non-negative and measurable. The techniques developed in this paper can be applied to study the nontrivial solutions of (5.5) with BCs (5.2)–(5.3). In fact, observe that the equation (5.5) is a special case of the functional differential equation (5.1). In order to do this, given $f : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ as above, we proceed as in [14] and define $F : [0,1] \times C([-r,0], \mathbb{R}) \to [0, \infty)$ by

$$F(t, \phi) = f(t, \phi(0), \phi(-r)).$$

Note that the operator F, defined in this way, verifies condition (C_5) provided that the map f satisfies the following Carathéodory-type assumption:

(C_5'') For each $R > 0$, there exists $\varphi_R^* \in L^\infty[0,1]$ such that

$$f(t,u,v) \le \varphi_R^*(t) \ \text{ for all } \ u,v \in \mathbb{R} \ \text{ with } \ |u| \le R,\ |v| \le R, \ \text{ and a. e. } \ t \in [0,1].$$

In addition, in order to obtain from Theorem 3.6 existence and multiplicity results for the FBVP (5.5)–(5.2)–(5.3), it is sufficient to consider the following numbers:

$$f^{(-\rho,\rho)} = \sup\Big\{\frac{f(t,u,v)}{\rho} : \ t \in [0,1],\ |u|,|v| \le \rho\Big\},$$

$$f_{(\rho,\rho/c)} = \inf\Big\{\frac{f(t,u,v)}{\rho} : \ t \in [a,b],\ \rho \le u \le \rho/c,\ \rho \le v \le \rho/c\Big\}.$$

These numbers are easier to compute than the analogous ones in the general case of a functional differential equation.

Let us consider, for illustrative purposes, the following autonomous equation depending on a positive parameter λ.

$$-u''(t) = \lambda\, |u(t)|^{p-1}|u(t-r)|,\ t \in [0,1], \tag{5.6}$$

where $p \ge 1$, with the initial conditions (5.2) and the boundary conditions

$$u(0) = 0,\ \frac{1}{4}u'(1) + u\big(\frac{1}{4}\big) = 0. \tag{5.7}$$

Here we have $f(u,v) = |u|^{p-1}|v|$ so that $f^{(-\rho,\rho)} = \rho^{p-1} = f_{(\rho,\rho/c)}$. Moreover we have

$$k(t,s) = \left[\frac{1}{2}t + 2t\left(\frac{1}{4} - s\right)H\left(\frac{1}{4} - s\right) - (t-s)H(t-s)\right]H(t).$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \int_0^1 |k(t,s)|\, ds = \frac{17}{16}$$

and therefore, in this case, $m = 16/17$.

As a consequence of Theorem 5.1 (using (S_2) of Theorem 3.6) we get the following.

Corollary 5.2. Let $[a,b] = [1/4, 7/16]$, and let $c_2 = 1/4$ and $c_1 = 1/8$. Assume that $r < 3/16$ and let ψ with $\|\psi\|_{[-r,0]} < 1$ be given. Then, for every $0 < \lambda < 16/17$ the FBVP (5.6)–(5.2)–(5.7) has at least one nontrivial solution u_λ, strictly positive on $[1/4, 7/16]$, with $\|u_\lambda\|_{[-r,1]} > 1$.

Proof. Take $\rho_1 = 1$ and observe that $(\mathrm{I}^1_{\rho_1})$ holds since $\lambda < 16/17 = m$. Moreover, for ρ_2 large enough (precisely $\rho_2 > \dfrac{M(a,b)}{\lambda}$) condition $(\mathrm{I}^0_{\rho_2})$ holds as well. Thus, Theorem 3.6 (S_2) can be applied, yielding at least one solution u_λ, positive on $[1/4, 7/16]$, with $1 < \|u_\lambda\|_{[-r,1]} < \rho_2$. $\square$

5.2. **Non-negative solutions.** We now show the applicability of the tools of Section 4.

Firstly we consider the FBVP (5.1)–(5.2)–(5.3) with a non-negative initial datum ψ, and look for non-negative solutions assuming $\beta+\eta \geq 1$. For a fixed value $r<1$ of the delay, we can apply the results of Section 4 to an arbitrary interval $[a,b] \subset (0,1)$ of length $b-a>r$. Note that when $\beta+\eta \geq 1$ the kernel k is non-negative and, reasoning as in [36], we take

$$\Phi(s)=\begin{cases} \dfrac{\beta}{\beta+\eta}s, & \text{if } s \geq \eta, \\ s\Big(1-\dfrac{s}{\beta+\eta}\Big), & \text{if } s<\eta, \end{cases}$$

so that the upper bound $k(t,s) \leq \Phi(s)$ holds. For the lower bounds, a routine calculation shows that $k(t,s) \geq c_1\Phi(s)$ for $t \in [a,b], s \in [0,1]$ if

$$c_1=\min\Big\{a, 1-\frac{b}{\beta+\eta}\Big\}.$$

Moreover, (C_7') holds with $c_2=a$. Thus we work in the affine cone (4.1) with $c=c_1$, obtaining an existence result for non-negative solutions analogous to Theorem 5.1.

Finally we turn our attention to the FBVP

$$u''(t)+F(t,u_t)=0,\ t \in [0,1], \tag{5.8}$$

with initial conditions

$$u(t)=\psi(t),\ t \in [-r,0], \tag{5.9}$$

and boundary conditions (BCs)

$$u(0)=0,\ u(1)=\alpha[u], \tag{5.10}$$

where ψ is non-negative. This FBVP can be seen as a generalization of the FBVP (1.1)–(1.2)–(1.3), since the BCs involve a more general functional given by a signed measure. To the FBVP (5.8)–(5.9)–(5.10) we associate the perturbed integral equation

$$u(t)=\psi(t)+\int_0^1 k(t,s)g(s)F(s,u_s)ds+\gamma(t)\alpha[u],\ t \in [-r,1],$$

where

$$\gamma(t)=tH(t) \quad \text{and} \quad k(t,s)=\left[t(1-s)-(t-s)H(t-s)\right]H(t).$$

Clearly k and γ are non-negative. In a similar way as in [39], we may choose

$$\Phi(s)=s(1-s)$$

Then we have $k(t,s) \leq \Phi(s)$. Furthermore, for a fixed $[a,b] \subset (0,1)$, by direct calculation we obtain

$$c_1=\min\{a,1-b\} \text{ and } c_2=a.$$

Thus we may take

$$c = \min\{a, 1 - b\}, \tag{5.11}$$

and work in the affine cone (4.1) with c given by (5.11). An analogue of Theorem 5.1 holds in this case as well.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the anonymous Referee for the careful reading of the manuscript and for the constructive comments. This paper was partially written during the visit of G. Infante to the Dipartimento di Ingegneria Industriale e Scienze Matematiche of the Università Politecnica delle Marche. G. Infante is grateful to the people of the aforementioned Dipartimento for their kind and warm hospitality. The authors were partially supported by G.N.A.M.P.A. - INdAM (Italy).

References

[1] R. P. Agarwal, L. Berezansky, E. Braverman and A. Domoshnitsky, Nonoscillation theory of functional differential equations with applications, *Nonoscillation theory of functional differential equations with applications*, Springer, New York, 2012.

[2] H. Amann, On the number of solutions of nonlinear equations in ordered Banach spaces. *J. Functional Analysis*, **11** (1972), 346–384.

[3] H. Amann, Fixed point equations and nonlinear eigenvalue problems in ordered Banach spaces, *SIAM. Rev.*, **18** (1976), 620–709.

[4] R. F. Brown, *The Lefschetz fixed point theorem*, Scott, Foresman and Co., Glenview, Ill.-London, 1971.

[5] A. Cabada, G. Infante and F. A. F. Tojo, Nontrivial solutions of perturbed Hammerstein integral equations with reflections, *Bound. Value Probl.*, **2013:86** (2013).

[6] A. Cabada, G. Infante and F. A. F. Tojo, Nonzero solutions of perturbed Hammerstein integral equations with deviated arguments and applications, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, to appear.

[7] R. Conti, Recent trends in the theory of boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.*, **22** (1967), 135–178.

[8] S. Djebali and K. Mebarki, Fixed point index on translates of cones and applications, *Nonlinear Stud.*, **21** (2014), 579–589.

[9] L. H. Erbe and Q. Kong, Boundary value problems for singular second-order functional-differential equations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **53** (1994), 377–388.

[10] L. H. Erbe, Q. Kong and B. G. Zhang, *Oscillation theory for functional-differential equations*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 190, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1995.

[11] A. Granas and J. Dugundji, *Fixed point theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.

[12] P. Guidotti and S. Merino, Gradual loss of positivity and hidden invariant cones in a scalar heat equation, *Differential Integral Equations*, **13** (2000), 1551–1568.

[13] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, Boston,1988.

[14] J. K. Hale and S. M. V. Lunel, *Introduction to Functional Differential Equations*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1993.

[15] G. Infante and J. R. L. Webb, Three point boundary value problems with solutions that change sign, *J. Integral Equations Appl.*, **15** (2003), 37–57.

[16] G. Infante and J. R. L. Webb, Loss of positivity in a nonlinear scalar heat equation, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, **13** (2006), 249–261.

[17] G. Infante and J. R. L. Webb, Nonlinear nonlocal boundary value problems and perturbed Hammerstein integral equations, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.*, **49** (2006), 637–656.

[18] G. Infante, P. Pietramala and F. A. F. Tojo, Nontrivial solutions of local and nonlocal Neumann boundary value problems, *arXiv:1404.1390 [math.CA]*, submitted.

[19] I. Y. Karaca, On positive solutions for second-order boundary value problems of functional differential equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **219** (2013), 5433–5439.

[20] G. L. Karakostas, K. G. Mavridis and P. Ch. Tsamatos, Multiple positive solutions for a functional second-order boundary value problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **282** (2003), 567–577.

[21] G. L. Karakostas, K. G. Mavridis and P. Ch. Tsamatos, Triple solutions for a nonlocal functional boundary value problem by Leggett-Williams theorem, *Appl. Anal.*, **83** (2004), 957–970.

[22] G. L. Karakostas and P. Ch. Tsamatos, Existence of multiple positive solutions for a nonlocal boundary value problem, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, **19** (2002), 109–121.

[23] G. L. Karakostas and P. Ch. Tsamatos, Multiple positive solutions of some Fredholm integral equations arisen from nonlocal boundary-value problems, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, **2002**, No. 30, 17 pp.

[24] K. Q. Lan, Multiple positive solutions of Hammerstein integral equations with singularities, *Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems*, **8** (2000), 175–195.

[25] R. W. Leggett and L. R. Williams, Multiple positive fixed points of nonlinear operators on ordered Banach spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **28** (1979), 673–688.

[26] R. Ma, A survey on nonlocal boundary value problems, *Appl. Math. E-Notes*, **7** (2001), 257–279.

[27] R. Ma, Positive solutions for boundary value problems of functional differential equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **193** (2007), 66–72.

[28] S. K. Ntouyas, Initial and boundary value problems for functional-differential equations via the topological transversality method: a survey, *Bull. Greek Math. Soc.*, **40** (1998), 3–41.

[29] S. K. Ntouyas, Nonlocal initial and boundary value problems: a survey, *Handbook of differential equations: ordinary differential equations. Vol. II*, 461–557, Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, 2005.

[30] S. K. Ntouyas, Y. G. Sficas and P.Ch. Tsamatos, An existence principle for boundary value problems for second order functional differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **20** (1993), 215–222.

[31] R. D. Nussbaum, *The fixed point index and fixed point theorems*, Topological methods for ordinary differential equations (Montecatini Terme, 1991), 143–205, Lecture Notes in Math., **1537**, Springer, Berlin, 1993.

[32] R. D. Nussbaum, Functional differential equations, *Handbook of dynamical systems, Vol. 2*, 461–499, North-Holland, Amsterdam 2002.

[33] M. Picone, Su un problema al contorno nelle equazioni differenziali lineari ordinarie del secondo ordine, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, **10** (1908), 1–95.

[34] A. Štikonas, A survey on stationary problems, Green's functions and spectrum of Sturm-Liouville problem with nonlocal boundary conditions, *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control*, **19** (2014), 301–334.

[35] H. Wang, Positive periodic solutions of functional differential equations, *J. Differential Equations*, **202** (2004), 354–366.

[36] J. R. L. Webb, Optimal constants in a nonlocal boundary value problem, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **63** (2005), 672–685.

[37] J. R. L. Webb, Existence of positive solutions for a thermostat model, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, **13** (2012), 923–938.

[38] J. R. L. Webb and G. Infante, Positive solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems: a unified approach, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **74** (2006), 673–693.

[39] J. R. L. Webb and G. Infante, Positive solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems involving integral conditions, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, **15** (2008), 45–67.

[40] W. M. Whyburn, Differential equations with general boundary conditions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **48** (1942), 692–704.

[41] H.-K. Xu and E. Liz, Boundary value problems for functional differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **20** (1993), 971–988.

Alessandro Calamai, Dipartimento di Ingegneria Industriale e Scienze Matematiche, Università Politecnica delle Marche Via Brecce Bianche I-60131 Ancona, Italy

E-mail address: calamai@dipmat.univpm.it

Gennaro Infante, Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università della Calabria, 87036 Arcavacata di Rende, Cosenza, Italy

E-mail address: gennaro.infante@unical.it